

ARGUMENTS

Response to rejection of Claim #2

Applicant directs the examiner to note that Cupps and Yeh teach only traditional PC based storage devices such as hard drives. However, applicant's invention includes non-PC based mass storage devices such as DVD players, DVRs, VCRs, CD Players. Etc., which have never been used as storage devices for PCs or to directly communicate with hand held devices.

Response to Rejection of Claim #3.

Applicant directs the examiner to note that based upon the detailed description of the specification, the elements described in Claim 1 is not a PC but rather the wireless handheld device described herein. The storage device relates to both PC types of storage devices such as hard drives, as well as non-pc types of storage devices such as DVD players, CD players, Cable Set top boxes, DVR's, VCRs, etc.

Further, the storage device is not connected to ANY PC.

Response to rejection of Claim #5

Applicant directs the examiner to note that Cupps and Yeh teach only traditional PC based storage devices such as hard drives. However, applicant's invention includes non-PC based mass storage devices such as DVD players, DVRs, VCRs, CD Players. Etc., which have never been used as storage devices for PCs or to directly communicate with hand held devices.

Response to rejection of Claim #7

Applicant directs the examiner to note that Cupps and Yeh teach only traditional PC based storage devices such as hard drives. However, applicant's invention includes non-PC based mass storage devices such as DVD players, DVRs, VCRs, CD Players. Etc., which have never been used as storage devices for PCs or to directly communicate with hand held devices.

Applicant directs the examiner that he is the sole inventor and no other inventors are named.